



2640 E. Edison Ave., Suite 1
Sunnyside, WA 98944

R10-20-A-015

NARRATIVE INFORMATION SHEET

1. **Applicant Identification**

Port of Sunnyside

2640 E Edison Avenue, Suite #1
Sunnyside, WA 98944

2. **Funding Requested**

- a. Assessment Grant Type: Community-Wide
- b. Federal Funds Requested:
 - i. \$300,000.
 - ii. The applicant will not be requesting a waiver of the funding limit for this grant type
- c. Contamination: Hazardous Substances and Petroleum
 - \$205,000 Hazardous Substances
 - \$95,000 Petroleum

3. **Location:**

- City of Sunnyside, Yakima County, Washington State

4. **Property Information for Site-Specific Proposals:**

- Not applicable.

5. **Contacts**

- a. Project Director: Jay Hester, (509) 839-7678, jay@portofsunnyside.com, 2640 E Edison Avenue, Suite #1, Sunnyside, Washington 98944
- b. Highest Elected Official - Commission President: Arnold Martin, (509) 839-7678, 2640 E Edison Avenue, Suite #1, Sunnyside, Washington 98944

6. **Population (data from: 2013-2017 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau)**

- City of Sunnyside: 16,248

7. **Other Factors Checklist (items that apply listed below):**

- The reuse of the priority site(s) will facilitate renewable energy from wind, solar, or geothermal energy; or will incorporate energy efficiency measures. (page 3)

8. **Letter from the State Environmental Authority:**

- Attached



STATE OF WASHINGTON
DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY

PO Box 47600 • Olympia, WA 98504-7600 • 360-407-6000
711 for Washington Relay Service • Persons with a speech disability can call 877-833-6341

November 15, 2019

Jay Hester, Executive Director
Port of Sunnyside
2640 E. Edison Ave #1
Sunnyside, WA 98944

Re: Ecology Support for the Port of Sunnyside's Application for a Community-Wide Assessment Grant

Dear Mr. Hester:

I understand that the Port of Sunnyside (Port) will submit an application to the U.S Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) for a Community-Wide Assessment Grant under the Fiscal Year 2020 Brownfields Program grant cycle. If awarded, the Port intends to use the funds for environmental investigation of Brownfields in the city of Sunnyside, with a focus on downtown. The Port is working with local partners to promote economic development and workforce housing in Sunnyside. There are a large number of potentially contaminated properties in the City and the uncertainty and risks associated with potential environmental contamination is a significant barrier to redevelopment of these properties. This project will support EPA's efforts to put previously contaminated properties back into productive use.

As a requirement of the application, the Port has informed Ecology of their plans to apply for this assessment grant. Ecology is very supportive of these efforts and this letter is provided to recognize that the Port has fulfilled their notification requirement. The Ecology point of contact for this site is Valerie Bound in our Union Gap office. Valerie's telephone number is (509) 454-7886. For questions regarding this letter or general Brownfields questions, please contact me at (509) 329-3436.

Sincerely,

Ali Furmall
Small & Rural Communities Brownfields Specialist
WA State Department of Ecology

cc: Terri Griffith, EPA Region 10
Susan Morales, EPA Region 10
Richelle Perez, Ecology
Valerie Bound, Ecology
Michael Stringer, Maul Foster & Alongi, Inc.

1. PROJECT AREA DESCRIPTION AND PLANS FOR REVITALIZATION

a. Target Area & Brownfields -*This application has been revised and improved from FY2018 submittal.*

i. Background and Description of Target Area.

Once a thriving city, Sunnyside's streets are now lined with empty storefronts and vacant lots due to economic shifts and a legacy of contamination that left about 33% of developable land in the city vacant and 47% underutilized. The Port of Sunnyside (Port) is requesting Community-Wide Assessment (CWA) grant funding to address these brownfield impacts.

The City of Sunnyside, located in central Washington State, is a rural community of 16,248 residents. The City boundaries define the target area. Sunnyside was founded in 1893 as irrigation projects made agriculture viable in this arid region. Sunnyside became a hub for food processing serving the entire Yakima Valley, one of the most productive agricultural areas in the country. Since the 1970s, the demographics of Sunnyside have shifted, as the City has become home to families of migrant farm workers, largely of Hispanic/Latino heritage. By 2010, the population of Sunnyside was 82% Hispanic.

Mechanization and other structural shifts in the agricultural economy have had a large impact on the target area. Between 2002 and 2017, the number of agricultural jobs dropped by 93%. Employment shifted to manufacturing, retail trade, and health care.¹ These jobs typically didn't match local worker skill sets and provided lower wages. As a result, the median household income in the target area dropped 9%.² With a lower median household income, more residents are now burdened by housing costs (31% of residents paying more than 1/3 of their income towards housing in 2017). In addition, 74% of target-area residents now commute to work 25 to 50 miles outside of the City.³

ii. Description of the Priority Brownfield Site(s). The Port's preliminary inventory of brownfield sites using federal and state environmental databases and local information identified 50 sites with potential or confirmed contamination. That equals one potentially contaminated site for every 325 people in Sunnyside. These sites include agricultural pesticide and herbicide storage, industrial facilities, bulk fuel storage, retail gas stations, automotive repair shops, dry cleaners, machine shops, and rail yards. Contaminants of concern from these historical operations include pesticides, herbicides, metals, petroleum hydrocarbons, and solvents—many of which are carcinogenic.

Three priority brownfield sites were selected based on their relationship to local plans, proximity to sensitive populations, potential for new jobs, access to infrastructure, and location in the target area.

Former Planters Hotel and Valley Dry Cleaner. This property is located on a prominent downtown corner. The hotel was demolished, and one small building occupies the approximately 0.5-acre property. Two underground storage tanks (USTs) were removed in 2015. Preliminary environmental assessment found concentrations of diesel, heavy oil, and naphthalene above cleanup levels in soil and groundwater. The property is adjacent to a former dry cleaner that is impacted by a chlorinated solvent groundwater plume. This property is a priority because the contamination impacts multiple properties, posing a cancer risk; its vacant status is a blight on downtown; and private development interest has stalled because of environmental concerns.

Former Auto Salvage Yard. This 1.5-acre site was formerly an auto service station and salvage yard. It is currently vacant with piles of old metal scrap and debris. This site is listed in Washington Department of Ecology's (Ecology's) cleanup database because of petroleum and hazardous waste. Two USTs were removed in 1992, but soil and groundwater samples found gasoline and benzene impacts above cleanup levels. This site is next to a childcare center, backs up to a K-8 school, and is across the street from residences. This site is priority based on the potential exposure of children and residents to toxics and strategic redevelopment location adjacent to Sunnyside's main commercial thoroughfare.

Former Sugar Beet Mill. This 14-acre property and 14,000 square foot masonry mill building have been vacant for decades since the closure of the sugar beet mill that was once a major employer. Based on historical uses, suspected contaminants include petroleum and metals in soil and groundwater and

¹ U.S. Census Bureau. 2017. LEHD Origin-Destination Employment Statistics (2002-2015) [computer file]. Washington, DC: U.S. Census Bureau, Longitudinal-Employer Household Dynamics Program [distributor], accessed on November 13, 2018 at <https://ontheedge.ces.census.gov>. LODES Ver. 7.3.

² U.S. Census Bureau. 2017. *Median household income, American Community Survey 5-year estimates*. Retrieved from https://www.socialexplorer.com/tables/ACS2017_5yr/.

³ See note 1 above.

Application for USEPA Brownfields Community-Wide Assessment Grant
Port of Sunnyside, Washington—FY2020

asbestos and lead paint in the building. The property is located within a quarter mile of a middle school and elementary school. This property is a priority because of the potential for economic benefit from its redevelopment as an agricultural market and the exposure risk it currently poses to youth.

b. Revitalization of the Target Area

i. Reuse Strategy and Alignment with Revitalization Plans. As the primary economic development organization in Sunnyside, the Port develops real estate to support expansion of existing businesses and recruitment of new businesses, and proactively identifies priority properties for redevelopment. Currently, the Port is focusing on revitalizing former commercial and industrial properties (see Section 4.b.ii. for past examples of the Port's success) and negotiating access agreements with the owners of these properties.

The Port is working with three local business owners to redevelop **the former hotel site** into a food market. The business owners' plans include a local pizzeria, meat market, and bakery. The Port will take the lead role to assess and remediate the property to make it ready to lease to local businesses. The Port would follow the proven model from previous work with private businesses (see Section 4.b.) to redevelop challenging properties in downtown Sunnyside, using public funds for site improvements and leveraging private investment in new business.

Like the former hotel site, the **former auto salvage yard** is in a strategic area for business redevelopment adjacent to the Yakima Valley Highway. The site adjoins established business including a grocery store, hotel, and several restaurants. The redevelopment strategy for this property is to resolve the environmental issues and request proposals from developers to recruit new commercial business.

The Port is also working with the City of Sunnyside, the regional economic development council, and local businesses on a redevelopment strategy to redevelop the **former Sugar Beet Mill** into a regional marketplace that provides office and retail space for agricultural businesses and celebrates the agricultural legacy of the Yakima Valley. This would fill a regional need for an agricultural market to serve businesses and attract tourists. The redevelopment will include historical interpretation elements and will celebrate the contributions of Latinos to the region. The Port is preparing a funding strategy that leverages state grants, local bonding capacity, and private investment.

The Port's real estate development efforts are coordinated and supportive of the City's downtown revitalization initiative and Comprehensive Land Use Plan and the regional economic strategic plan. Table 1 shows how these plans align with the proposed redevelopment strategies.

Table 1: Brownfield Redevelopment Alignment with Local Plans		
Plan	Plan Goals/Policies/Strategies	Proposed Redevelopment Strategies
City of Sunnyside Comprehensive Plan (2007)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Protect groundwater from sources of contamination • Encourage urban infill to avoid sprawl • Attract economic activities that meet the needs and desires of the community 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Assessment and cleanup of brownfield sites • Assessment will prioritize urban infill sites • Redevelopment of priority sites to accommodate businesses and regional market • Future uses will comply with local zoning code
Downtown Revitalization Initiative (Ongoing)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Streetscape improvements to enhance downtown. • Programming and events to activate downtown. • Support services to assist local businesses. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Redevelopment of former hotel reduces vacancy and enhances downtown revitalization
Yakima County Development Association 5-Year Plan (2017 update)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Work cooperatively with realtors, developers, and property owners to maintain information on available sites and facilities. • Raise the Valley's image as a business location and try to attract new corporate facilities that generate new jobs and tax revenue • Help local industry grow capable workers & managers 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Brownfield inventory and prioritization is made available to realtors and developers • Redevelopment of vacant brownfield sites creates opportunities for new business to relocate to the Valley and provides opportunities for existing businesses to expand

Application for USEPA Brownfields Community-Wide Assessment Grant
Port of Sunnyside, Washington—FY2020

ii. Outcomes and Benefits of Redevelopment Strategy.

Economic Development: Brownfield redevelopment will improve the local economy by creating an estimated 370 new family-wage jobs, attracting new businesses, leveraging private investment, and reducing vacancy. This redevelopment activity will also increase assessed values and local revenue. The priority brownfields (Section 1.a.ii) represent 16 acres of vacant, industrially and commercially zoned land. The priority brownfields have access to transportation and utility infrastructure, topography, lot size, and zoning designation that are desirable for redevelopment. Using standard employment density ratios, redevelopment of these properties could provide between 370 new family-wage jobs (see Table 2).⁴

Table 2: New Building and Jobs Projection

Priority Property	Uses	New building square feet	New jobs
Former Planters Hotel	Restaurant with Office	20,300	30
Former Salvage Yard	Retail	49,000	50
Former Sugar Beet Mill	Market/Flex Space	293,300	290
Total		362,600	370

The Port has a recent successful example of redevelopment of a vacant downtown property into the Varietal Beer Co. brewery which led to the creation of 23 new jobs and \$405,000 in new private investment in the community. Creating more jobs in Sunnyside increases household incomes, reduces poverty, and reduces the economic and environmental costs of commuting long distances to work.

The redevelopment strategy for the **former sugar beet mill** will have local and regional benefits. The market builds on Sunnyside's brand as center for value added agriculture and will attract tourism to the Yakima Valley. This regional marketplace is anticipated to bring economic benefit to nearby agricultural producers in the surrounding opportunity zones located about 30 miles from Sunnyside in Yakima, Richland and Goldendale, WA.

Energy: The primary energy supply in Sunnyside is hydropower and wind turbines. New construction and renovation projects will meet Washington State's stringent new energy code, which has a target of 70% reduction in building energy use by 2031.

c. Strategy for Leveraging Resources

i. Resources Needed for Site Reuse.

Undertaking significant capital projects such as cleanup and redevelopment of brownfields will require public and private investment beyond the resources of the Port. As described in Section 4.b., the Port of Sunnyside has demonstrated the ability to develop successful models for implementing public private partnerships and leverage public and private funds to complete big projects.

Funding environmental cleanup. As a local government, the Port is eligible to receive Washington State Remedial Action Grants that can match USEPA brownfield grants to move sites through the assessment process to implementing cleanup actions. The Port is also eligible for cleanup funding through Washington's Brownfield Revolving Loan Fund. The Port plans to apply for future USEPA Brownfield Cleanup Grants to fund remediation of priority sites. The Port will work with private property owners to explore potential to access historic insurance policies to fund assessment and cleanup. Washington State has favorable case law and a track record of successful environmental claims on historic commercial general liability policies.

Funding redevelopment. The Port is eligible to receive grants and low interest loans from Washington's Community Economic Revitalization Board (CERB) to construct public infrastructure to support private business. CERB also provides planning grants that can support economic analysis to complement the USEPA Assessment Grant in preparing redevelopment strategies for brownfield properties. The Port plans to use CERB grant and loan funds along with the Port's bonding capacity, to leverage private investment as it has successfully done on individual property redevelopment projects and its 106-acre business park. The

⁴ US Energy Information Administration. 2016. Table B2. Summary table: total medians of floorspace, number of workers, and hours of operation.
<https://www.eia.gov/consumption/commercial/data/2012/bc/pdf/b2.pdf>

Application for USEPA Brownfields Community-Wide Assessment Grant
Port of Sunnyside, Washington—FY2020

Port will also explore opportunities for US Economic Development Administration and US Department of Agriculture Rural Development grant funds to support redevelopment.

Table 3 below lists potential funding sources for which the Port would be more competitive if an CWA grant was secured. Table 4 also lists past examples of leveraged resources related to the CWA project, including funds to support property redevelopment as well as assessment.

Table 3: Potential Funding Resources

Source	Purpose/Role	Amount (\$)	Status
Dept. of Ecology—Remedial Action Grant	Funding for assessment and cleanup of contaminated properties (available only to public agencies). 50% match required	\$300,000 for voluntary cleanups	Potential
Brownfield Revolving Loan Fund	Low-interest loan for cleanup of contaminated properties (available to public or private parties). 20% match required.	\$500,000	Potential
USEPA Brownfield Cleanup Grants	Funding for cleanup of brownfields. 20% match required	\$500,000	Potential
Historic Insurance Policies	Assessment and cleanup of contaminated sites	Site dependent	Potential
CERB Planning Grant	Economic feasibility study for redevelopment of brownfield properties in Sunnyside. 15% match required.	\$50,000	Potential
CERB Infrastructure Construction Funding	Mix of grant and low interest loan to construct public infrastructure to support private businesses.	Up to \$3M	Potential
US Economic Development Administration	Public Works and Economic Development Facilities Program supports infrastructure improvement to promote private investment. 50% match.	Up to \$3M	Potential
USDA—Rural Business Enterprise Grant	Funds infrastructure and capital investment to support small businesses in communities with population less than 50,000. 50% match required.	\$500,000	Potential
Total Potential Leverage: over \$7.8 M			

Table 4. Past Examples of Leveraged Resources

CERB Loan/Grant (2017)	Renovation of a building to support a new brewery.	\$700,000	Complete
Washington State Dept of Commerce Grant (2016)	Funding for demolition of former dairy plant building (33,000 square feet).	\$450,000	Complete
Dept of Ecology—Remedial Action Grant (2013)	Assessment and cleanup of former dairy plant. Remediation of chlorinated solvents in groundwater.	\$470,000	Complete
Total Amount Leveraged in Past: \$1.52 M			

ii. Use of Existing Infrastructure. Redevelopment of brownfields in downtown Sunnyside capitalizes on existing street improvements and underutilized building stock. The City of Sunnyside has invested over \$2.5M in downtown street and sidewalk improvements since 2014. The wider sidewalks, decorative paving, and tree planters have made downtown more attractive, more walkable, and safer. The former hotel site and four other brownfield sites are located on the downtown blocks where streets have been improved. The City has also made improvements to two downtown parks. The City water and sewer systems serve the target area, and both have capacity to serve increased development. Promoting development within the target area increases the efficiency of existing infrastructure and avoids costs of expanding services to undeveloped areas.

The former Sugar Beet Mill property presents an opportunity for renovation of a historic building. The Port plans to renovate the masonry warehouse for an agricultural market. Renovation of existing structures avoids the costs and environmental impacts of new construction. The Port has successfully attracted a wine maker and a brewery to locate in renovated buildings in downtown Sunnyside because of the unique character of the old buildings, pedestrian-friendly environment, and access to amenities.

2. COMMUNITY NEED AND COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT

a. Community Need

i. The Community's Need for Funding. The Port is a small governmental organization with an annual budget of approximately \$6.5 million. Undertaking significant capital projects such as cleanup and redevelopment of brownfields will require public and private investment beyond the resources of the Port. The City of Sunnyside also has limited ability to fund brownfields cleanup and redevelopment. City tax revenues have remained flat, with only marginal increases in sales and property tax revenues. The City has been forced to increase rates for water, garbage, and emergency services to keep pace with increasing costs. These rate increases impact the low-income target community the most. The City has relied on obtaining state and federal grants to fund needed capital improvements to infrastructure. Both the Port and City rely on taxes as part of their funding. They are relying on a small population whose poverty rate is more than two times that of Washington State.

Washington State has a dedicated funding source to assist local governments in cleaning up brownfield properties. The Washington State cleanup funds present a great opportunity but require matching funds, such as an USEPA brownfields grant to access them.

ii. Threats to Sensitive Populations. (1) *Health or Welfare of Sensitive Populations.* According to the state Department of Health's Washington Tracking Network, Sunnyside is ranked high (10/10) for Social Vulnerability to Hazards, based on the number of people with limited English, high levels of child poverty, people without health insurance, overcrowded housing, and other socioeconomic factors.⁵ The proportion of minorities in Sunnyside is nearly twice as high as the nation and nearly three times higher than the state. The percentage of youth under 18 years old in Sunnyside (37%) is also higher than the nation (23%).

The priority brownfield sites (Section 1.a.ii) are in close proximity to homes, schools, and health clinics, making disparate impacts from environmental contamination more likely. Two sites are within 300 feet of a school, and one is within 0.25 mile of the local community hospital and a women's clinic. Contaminants from these sites have the potential to impact children and minority populations through volatilization in indoor air in homes and schools, direct contact with contaminated soil, and inhalation of dust.

(2) *Greater than Normal Incidence of Disease and Adverse Health Conditions.* The target area is **ranked as high (9/10) for poor health disparities**, based on social and economic determinants as well as poor health outcomes. In Yakima County (where the most population-specific data are available), more people suffer from diabetes, obesity, and high cholesterol than the state average. Yakima County is worse than the state average for 10 of 12 leading causes of death, including major cardiovascular disease, chronic lower respiratory diseases, influenza and pneumonia, and chronic liver disease and cirrhosis.⁶ Yet, due to the lack of economic opportunity and low incomes, 14% of the population is uninsured. Additionally, Yakima County is designated as a Health Professional Shortage Area for primary, dental, and mental health care. The ratio of people to doctors is 1,430:1 in the county compared to 1,190:1 in the state.

Brownfield properties, such as the priority sites identified in Section 1.a.ii, contribute to these public health outcomes through contamination of the air, soil, and water and by exacerbating conditions that perpetuate the cycle of poverty associated with limited economic opportunities. The proximity of contaminated sites to minority, youth, pregnant, and other sensitive populations puts them at risk of exposure through inhalation of indoor air, direct contact with soil, and inhalation of dust.

(3) *Disproportionately Impacted Populations.* The poverty rate in Sunnyside (25%) is nearly twice the rate for the state and ten percentage points higher than the nation.⁷ The median household income for the target area (\$40,058) is approximately 25% less than that of the county and 42% less than that of the state. In the Sunnyside School District, 85% of students receive free or reduced-price meals, compared to 70% of the students in school districts throughout the county and 43% of students in schools across the state.⁸ At

⁵ WTN uses the same data as EJScreen and adds additional state level datasets and comparisons.

Washington Department of Health, 2019. Washington Tracking Network

www.doh.wa.gov/DataandStatisticalReports/EnvironmentalHealth/WashingtonTrackingNetworkWTN

⁶ Sunnyside Community Hospital and Clinics. 2016. *Community Health Needs Assessment*. Sunnyside, WA.

⁷ Socio-economic data are from the 2013-2017 American Community Survey

⁸ Office of Superintendent of Public Instruction. *Washington State Report Card*. Retrieved from: <http://reportcard.ospi.k12.wa.us/>.

Application for USEPA Brownfields Community-Wide Assessment Grant
Port of Sunnyside, Washington—FY2020

the same time, nearly half of the population has obtained less than a high school education, limiting the skill sets and opportunities of the younger generation.

The economic challenges of Sunnyside have led to blight and crime. Approximately 33% of Sunnyside's developable land is vacant, and 47% is underutilized. This blight has exacerbated safety concerns by creating spaces that can be occupied by illegal-drug users (use of illegal drugs by eighth graders is nearly twice as high in Yakima County as it is in Washington State).⁹ The lack of economic opportunity has contributed to gang activity, which in 2018 led the City to establish their Police Department's gang unit.¹⁰

Assessment, cleanup, and redevelopment of brownfield properties will reduce blight and provide greater economic opportunities to the local community to address these disparities.

b. Community Engagement

i./ii. Project Partners & Roles. The following section addresses criteria 2.b.i. and ii. The Port has worked effectively with local community groups and is an active participant in community-wide efforts promoting economic development and environmental improvement. The Port is actively engaged with a number of community partners who will play important roles in the CWA grant. The Port plans to establish a local advisory committee (AC) to help guide the CWA process. The AC will include representatives from the Port, City, and community leaders and stakeholders, including members of the Hispanic community. The AC will give community members a seat at the table in formulating the approach of the CWA and making decisions involved in its implementation. The AC will meet on a quarterly basis and will be actively engaged in developing criteria for prioritizing sites, guiding cleanup and redevelopment plans and reviewing key outputs (e.g. brownfield inventory and priority sites).

Table 5. Partner Name & Point of Contact	Specific Role in the Project
Sunnyside Downtown Development Association Dean Broersma, dbroersma@sunnyside-wa.gov 509-952-4456	Will be on the AC and offer private sector advice on economic development. Will support outreach to downtown business owners.
Central Washington Hispanic Chamber of Commerce. Priscilla Trevino, ptrevino@thefieldgroup.net , 509-965-1780	Will be on the AC to offer advice on economic development. Will support outreach to Hispanic business owners. .
Yakima County Development Association Jon Smith, jon@ycda.com , 509-575-1140	Will be on the AC and offer expert development advice and technical assistance and provide assistance with funding opportunities for redevelopment.
South Central Workforce Development Council Michelle Smith, Michelle.smith@co.yakima.wa.us , 509-574-1950	Will distribute relevant project materials and assist the Port in its efforts to equip the target-area community with the skills to match incoming employment opportunities.
Property Owners	Owners of brownfields properties will provide access for assessments and will be consulted in the development of Phase I and Phase II ESAs and redevelopment strategies.

iii. Incorporating Community Input. Throughout the CWA process, the Port will use methods that have proven to be effective and equitable in previous projects to distribute information, communicate progress, and provide opportunities for the community to provide input. Since the target area's population is majority Hispanic, Port staff is available to help guide culturally appropriate engagement, engage local Hispanic leaders, and provide translation services for materials and meetings. More broadly, community input will be solicited, considered, and responded to through the following methods:

- *Public open house events:* Once the brownfield inventory is complete, the results will first be reported to the AC for their analysis and input regarding prioritization and site selection. An open house will be held for the community to review the brownfield inventory and discuss prioritization. Later in the CWA project, the community will be updated as to the progress of Phase I/II ESAs and of the project.
- *Stakeholder and small group outreach:* The Port will conduct personal meetings with key stakeholders, businesses, and community groups to engage them in identification of potential brownfield properties,

⁹ Sunnyside Community Hospital and Clinics. 2013. *Community Health Needs Assessment*. Sunnyside, WA.

¹⁰ McGhan, Jennie. *Street crime unit to address gang increase*. Daily Sun News. Sunnyside, WA. 15 August 2018. Retrieved from: www.dailysunnews.com/news/2018/aug/15/street-crime-unit-address-gang-increase/

Application for USEPA Brownfields Community-Wide Assessment Grant
Port of Sunnyside, Washington—FY2020

priorities, and redevelopment opportunities. The Port has found that meeting one-on-one with stakeholders is a most effective method of engaging members of this community.

- *On-line communications:* The Port increasingly uses online tools to engage community members. The Port will use social media to share information and establish a dedicated Web page for this project that will be updated to track and communicate progress. Community members have used these Internet resources as a library for information on previous community-planning projects.

Past outreach efforts have indicated that most meeting attendees get their information from the local newspaper, *The Sunnyside Sun*. The Port will update *Sunnyside Sun* reporters and work with the paper to regularly publish articles about this project.

3. TASK DESCRIPTIONS, COST ESTIMATES, AND MEASURING PROGRESS

a. Description of Tasks/Activities and Outputs

The Port's work plan for the CWA consists of the following major steps: brownfield inventory, prioritization, assessment, analysis of cleanup options, and redevelopment strategy. The community will be engaged and informed throughout this process (see more details in Section 2b). Internal Port resources will be used to manage the project. This is estimated to be a \$13,000 in-kind donation. The scope of work includes five tasks:

Table 6. Project Implementation, Anticipated Schedule, Task Lead, and Outputs		
Task 1—Project Management		
i. <u>Project Implementation:</u> Project management activities include preparing progress reports, reimbursement requests, and updating the ACRES database. This task also funds up to 2 Port staff to attend the USEPA national brownfield conference or state/regional conferences.		
ii. <u>Anticipated schedule:</u> Ongoing, throughout grant timeline	iii. <u>Task Lead:</u> Jay Hester	
iv. <u>Outputs:</u> 12 Quarterly reports, annual DBE reports, project closeout report, 2 Port staff attend the USEPA national brownfield conference or state/regional conferences		
Task 2—Community Involvement		
i. <u>Project Implementation:</u> This task includes coordinating and conducting meetings with the AC, research interviews with stakeholders and property owners, hosting two community meetings, integrating, preparing and publishing public notices, preparing meeting materials and presentations, and preparing and distributing fact sheets and other public information. More details of community involvement are described in Section 2.b.		
ii. <u>Anticipated schedule:</u> Throughout project. AC meetings quarterly. Community meetings when draft inventory and analysis of brownfield cleanup alternatives (ABCA) area prepared	iii. <u>Task Lead:</u> Lucia Navarro with consultant	
iv. <u>Outputs:</u> Public involvement plan, Web page, informational material (in Spanish and English), four AC meetings, two public meetings, meeting minutes		
Task 3—Brownfield Inventory		
i. <u>Project Implementation:</u> The Port will build on its preliminary brownfield inventory, prioritize sites, and conduct eligibility determinations. The Port will supplement the data compiled during the initial priority site selection with additional information from existing public and proprietary databases. This will include a review of historical records and current environmental databases. The Port and contractor will perform the following activities as part of this task: (1) conduct tours of brownfield sites throughout the target area to confirm potential brownfield sites, and verify current conditions, development opportunities, and constraints; (2) survey local developers, real-estate industry representatives, property owners, and other stakeholders to gauge interest in the program and collect additional site information to supplement the inventory; (3) work with the AC to confirm selection criteria and rank sites based on community priorities; (4) produce a Site Inventory and Prioritization Report; and (5) complete eligibility determinations for the top-priority opportunity sites.		
ii. <u>Anticipated schedule:</u> Oct. 2020 to Jan. 2021	iii. <u>Task Lead:</u> Travis Jansen with consultant	
iv. <u>Outputs:</u> Site inventory and prioritization report, Web-based viewer		

Application for USEPA Brownfields Community-Wide Assessment Grant
Port of Sunnyside, Washington—FY2020

Task 4— Phase I ESA		
i. <u>Project Implementation:</u> Under direction of the Port, the contracted environmental consulting firm will complete an estimated eight Phase I ESAs at six hazardous-substance and two priority petroleum sites. Phase I ESAs will be performed consistent with the All Appropriate Inquiries Final Rule and the latest ASTM standards.		
ii. <u>Anticipated schedule:</u> Oct. 2020 to Sept. 2023		iii. <u>Task Lead:</u> Travis Jansen with consultant
iv. <u>Outputs:</u> 8 Phase I ESA reports, ACRES updates		
Task 5— Phase II ESA, ABCAs, and Redevelopment Strategies		
i. <u>Project Implementation:</u> The environmental contractor will prepare a comprehensive Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP), complete Phase II ESAs (including site specific Sampling and Analysis Plans (SAP), Health and Safety Plans (HASP)), ABCAs at three priority hazardous-substance sites and one petroleum site, and two redevelopment plans. Redevelopment plans will be developed through workshops with property owners and a team of economic development, land use planning, and environmental professionals.		
ii. <u>Anticipated schedule:</u> Feb. 2020 to Sept. 2023		iii. <u>Task Lead:</u> Travis Jansen with consultant
iv. <u>Outputs:</u> QAPP, SAPs/HASPs, 4 Phase II ESA reports, 4 ABCAs, 2 redevelopment plans		

b. Cost Estimates

i./ii. Development & Application of Cost Estimates. The section addresses the criteria for section 3.b.i. and ii. A budget table and narrative description of costs are presented in below. Please note that funds are not requested for personnel, fringe benefits, equipment, supplies or other costs. Therefore, these budget categories are not included in the table.

Table 7: Project Budget Table						
Budget Categories	Hazardous Substance Funds—Project Tasks					
	Task 1: Project Management	Task 2: Community Involvement	Task 3: Brownfield Inventory	Task 4: Phase I ESA	Task 5: Phase II ESA, ABCAs, and Redevelopment Strategies	Total
Travel	\$1,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,000
Contractual	\$5,000	\$12,125	\$6,875	\$30,000	\$150,000	\$204,000
Budget Categories	Petroleum Funds—Project Tasks					
Travel	\$1,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,000
Contractual	\$5,000	\$12,125	\$6,875	\$10,000	\$60,000	\$94,000
Total Direct Costs	\$12,000	\$24,250	\$13,750	\$40,000	\$210,000	\$300,000
Indirect Costs	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total Budget	\$12,000	\$24,250	\$13,750	\$40,000	\$210,000	\$300,000

Task 1—Project Management and Programmatic Activities: (\$12,000, Grant-Funded Activities): The budget for this task includes \$5,000/grant (40 hrs at \$125/hr) for contractor support in preparing 12 periodic reports, including ACRES updates to support project management. The budget includes travel expenses for Port staff (up to two) to attend one national or state/regional brownfield conference. Estimated travel costs include airfare (\$1,000/person = \$2,000).

Task 2—Community Involvement: (\$24,250, Grant-Funded Activities): The community outreach budget includes \$11,875/grant (95 hrs at \$125/hr) for a consulting firm to prepare a public involvement plan, facilitate four community outreach meetings and four AC meetings, produce project informational materials, and assist the Port with site-specific outreach. Included in the contractual cost is \$250/grant for developing and printing graphic materials (\$500 total).

Task 3—Brownfield Inventory: (\$13,750 Grant-Funded Activities): The Port and contractor will perform the following activities as part of this task: conduct research and site visits of brownfield sites, create site prioritization criteria, produce a Site Inventory and Prioritization Report, and complete eligibility determination forms. The budget (for each grant) includes contractual services of \$6,875 (55 hrs at \$125/hr) to perform the tasks and deliver the outputs listed above.

Application for USEPA Brownfields Community-Wide Assessment Grant
Port of Sunnyside, Washington—FY2020

Task 4—Phase I ESAs: (\$40,000 Grant-Funded Activities): This task will include an estimate of eight Phase I ESAs at up to six hazardous-substance and two petroleum brownfield sites, at an average cost of \$5,000 per Phase I ESA (total cost of \$40,000).

Task 5—Phase II ESAs, ABCAs, and Redevelopment Strategies: (\$210,000 Grant-Funded Activities): This task will include: preparation of a comprehensive QAPP at an estimated cost of \$10,000 (\$5,000/grant); completion of Phase II ESAs, including site-specific Sampling and Analysis Plans (SAP) and Health and Safety Plans (HASPs) at three hazardous-substance sites and one petroleum site (average cost of \$35,000/site [\$140,000 total]); preparation of ABCAs/Site-Specific Reuse Plans at three hazardous-substance sites and one petroleum site (average costs of \$10,000/ABCA [\$40,000 total]); and two redevelopment strategies, including workshops with property owners and a team of economic development, land use planning, and environmental professionals (average cost of \$10,000/strategy[\$20,000 total]).

iii. Fund Allocation Toward Environmental Site Assessment. \$180,000 or 60% of the total grant is allocated to Phase I and Phase II ESAs.

c. Measuring Environmental Results

In the Cooperative Grant Agreement, the Port will establish a project schedule and refine the milestones, outcomes, and outputs listed in this grant application. The status and estimated completion dates for outputs identified in 3.a. will be tracked and reported to USEPA via Quarterly and Final Performance Reports and ACRES updates. The Port and its consultant will set up a spreadsheet to track the outputs in Table 8 and compared them to the project schedule and targets set in this grant application.

Table 8: Measuring Environmental Results		
Type	Items	
Outputs	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • # of brownfield sites identified in inventory • # of eligibility determinations • # of Phase I ESAs completed (target = 8) • # of Phase II ESAs (target = 4) • # of QAPP, SAP, and HASPs 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • # of ABCAs (target = 4) • # of Site Reuse Plans (target = 2) • # of community meetings (target = 4) • # of community meeting participants • # of AC meetings (target = 4)
Outcomes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • # of sites cleaned up • \$ leveraged for cleanup • # of sites redeveloped • # of new businesses • # of jobs created or retained 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • \$ of private investment and other funding leveraged for redevelopment • \$ of increase in assessed values • # of in-kind hours contributed by Port

4. PROGRAMMATIC CAPABILITY AND PAST PERFORMANCE

a. Programmatic Capability

i. Organizational Structure. The Port effectively manages a portfolio of approximately 1,300 acres of land that is managed to promote economic development and family-wage jobs in an equitable and environmentally sustainable manner. An elected three-person commission governs the Port, which has two departments: administration and operations. For the CWA, the Port has assembled a team of qualified staff with experience in brownfield cleanup, environmental compliance, real estate development, and grant management. This will ensure accountability and effective management of the project. The AC will provide community oversight and guidance for the project. Experienced environmental contractors will also support the team.

ii. Description of Key Staff

Jay Hester, Executive Director: Jay has served the Port for 12 years and was a project manager before becoming the executive director and has managed a private-sector small business. He successfully led the cleanup of the former dairy plant brownfield described below. He will be responsible for meeting all of the reporting and deliverable requirements of the CWA. As the executive director, he will regularly report project status to the Port's elected commission and serve as the primary point of contact for the community.

Travis Jansen, Project Manager: Travis has 15 years of experience in project management. He managed the \$700K Varietal Brewing and \$260K Co-Dinn Winery redevelopment projects listed below. He plays a key role in Port real estate development and environmental compliance and permitting projects. Travis will

Application for USEPA Brownfields Community-Wide Assessment Grant
Port of Sunnyside, Washington—FY2020

be responsible for the day-to-day management of the CWA project, including meeting project budgets and schedules, contractor procurement, and reporting.

Nicole Jech, Financial Officer: Nicole is responsible for the Port's overall finance functions, including advising the elected Port Commission and executive director, administering fiscal matters, and supervising the Port's accounting staff. She will be responsible for tracking of all invoices, reimbursement requests, and financial reporting as part of the CWA.

Lucia Navarro, Administrative Assistant: Lucia provides support to Port staff and will provide assistance throughout the CWA in compiling and tracking project outputs, public meeting materials, and communications. Lucia is fluent in Spanish and will support Hispanic outreach and Spanish translation.

iii. Acquiring Additional Resources. Contractor procurement. The Port will hire a contractor to assist with the CWA project tasks including community involvement, the brownfield inventory and Phase I and II ESAs (described in Section 3). The Port regularly contracts for professional services and has established procurement procedures in alignment with Washington State and federal public bid requirements. The Port will collaborate with USEPA to prepare a Request for Qualifications for firms with experience completing brownfield assessment, cleanup, and redevelopment projects. The Port will provide the submittals to USEPA staff for review, if requested. The Port staff will rate the submittals, conduct interviews if deemed necessary, and selected the preferred contractor. The Port staff will negotiate a contract with the selected environmental contractor. The elected Port Commission will be responsible for approving the contract in a public meeting.

b. Past Performance and Accomplishments

ii. Has Not Received an EPA Brownfields Grant but has Received Other Federal or Non-Federal Grants Assistance Agreements

(1) *Purpose and Accomplishments.* The Port has not previously received a USEPA Brownfields Grant, but it has successfully obtained and implemented other federal and non-federal grants similar in size, scope, and relevance. Listed below are recent state, and local grants the Port has successfully managed and their outcomes, outputs, and measures of success.

- **Dept. of Ecology Remedial Action Grant:** (\$470,000; 2013-2015) **Former dairy plant cleanup.** Outputs: Phase I/II ESA; integrated cleanup and redevelopment plan; remediation of groundwater plume. Outcome: Property shovel-ready for redevelopment. Measures for success: Completion of Phase I/II ESA, implementation of cleanup, compliance with cleanup levels, No Further Action letter.
- **Washington State Dept. of Commerce Grant:** (\$450,000; 2016-Completed) **Former dairy plant cleanup.** Outputs: demolition of dilapidated building. Outcome: revitalization in downtown Sunnyside. Measure for success: Completion of building demolition. Protection of iconic water tower. Increased amount of shovel-ready property in Sunnyside (4.5 acres).
- **CERB Loan/Grant:** (\$700,000; 2017-2018) **Varietal Brewing project.** Outputs: renovation of building for new business. Outcome: new business, new jobs, increased vitality to downtown Sunnyside. Measure for success: number of new jobs created (23); downtown investment (\$405,000).
- **Yakima County Supporting Investments in Economic Development Grant:** (\$260,000; 2016-2017) **Co-Dinn Winery Project.** Outputs: renovation of historic building for new business. Outcome: new business, business growth in downtown Sunnyside. Measure for success: number of new businesses in downtown (1 winery).

(2) *Compliance with Grant Requirements.* The Port has a history of timely and acceptable reporting in accordance with the requirements of the awarding agency or organization. For the grants above, the Port completed work plans within budget and schedule. The Port met progress and final reporting requirements in compliance with terms and conditions. Compliance and successful workplan implementation and grant management is reflected in the Port's history of receiving multiple grants from Dept. of Ecology, CERB, and Yakima County over the years. For all of the grants listed above, the outputs were successfully completed. Plans have been implemented, environmental assessments and cleanups have been completed, buildings have been demolished or renovated. For all of the grants listed, the success has been seen in terms of shovel-ready property, new businesses, new jobs, downtown investment, legacy contamination cleanup, and building renovations. The Port has been awarded the Certificate of Achievement for Excellence in Financial Reporting for each of the last 12 years by the Government Finance Officers Association.

PORT OF SUNNYSIDE
EPA BROWNFIELD ASSESSMENT GRANT APPLICATION

THRESHOLD CRITERIA

1. Applicant Eligibility

Eligible Entity: The Port of Sunnyside is the applicant and is special purpose municipal government as established in Revised Code of Washington Title 53. Ports are established by a citizen vote and governed by an elected board of commissioners. Port districts in Washington State have a broad range of powers that are focused on economic development. Port district powers resemble county and city governmental powers including eminent domain, the power to levy taxes and special local improvement assessments, to create incidental park and recreation facilities, to cooperate with counties and cities in order to apply general police and traffic regulations to port properties and operations.

Documentation of eligibility of organization is attached.

2. Community Involvement

The Port of Sunnyside intends and has clear plans for informing and involving the community and stakeholders in brownfield assessment activities. The plan includes quarterly meetings with a brownfield Advisory Committee (AC), community meetings, integrating meaningful public input into the grant program implementation, preparing and publishing public notices, preparing meeting materials and presentations, and preparing and distributing fact sheets and other public information. The plans are described in the narrative proposal in Section 2.b. of the application and repeated below.

Throughout the CWA process, the Port will use methods that have proven to be effective and equitable in previous projects to distribute information, communicate progress, and provide opportunities for the community to provide input. Since the target area's population is majority Hispanic, Port staff is available to help guide culturally appropriate engagement, engage local Hispanic leaders, and provide translation services for materials and meetings. More broadly, community input will be solicited, considered, and responded to through the following methods:

- **Public open house events:** Once the brownfield inventory is complete, the results will first be reported to the AC for their analysis and input regarding prioritization and site selection. An open house will be held for the community to review the brownfield inventory and discuss prioritization. Later in the CWA project, the community will be updated as to the progress of Phase I/II ESAs and of the project.
- **Stakeholder and small group outreach:** The Port will conduct personal meetings with key stakeholders, businesses, and community groups to engage them in identification of potential brownfield properties, priorities, and redevelopment opportunities. The Port has

PORT OF SUNNYSIDE EPA BROWNFIELD ASSESSMENT GRANT APPLICATION

found that meeting one-on-one with stakeholders is a most effective method of engaging members of this community.

- On-line communications: The Port increasingly uses online tools to engage community members. The Port will use social media to share information and establish a dedicated Web page for this project that will be updated to track and communicate progress. Community members have used these Internet resources as a library for information on previous community-planning projects.

Past outreach efforts have indicated that most meeting attendees get their information from the local newspaper, *The Sunnyside Sun*. The Port will update Sunnyside Sun reporters and work with the paper to regularly publish articles about this project.

Expenditure of Brownfield Assessment Grant

The Port of Sunnyside affirms that it does not have an active EPA Brownfield Assessment Grant.

C E R T I F I C A T E

STATE OF WASHINGTON)
)
COUNTY OF YAKIMA)

I, EUGENE NAFF, County Auditor in and for Yakima County,
State of Washington, do hereby certify that the material contained herein
is a true and correct copy of the original on file in the office of the Board
of County Commissioners, Yakima County, Washington.

DATED at Yakima, Washington, this 30th day of
November, 1964.

EUGENE NAFF, County Auditor

By Rose Hanson
Rose Hanson, Deputy Auditor and
Deputy Clerk

TO THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF YAKIMA COUNTY, WASHINGTON:

We, the undersigned, qualified electors of Yakima County, residing within the boundaries of the hereinafter proposed district, hereby respectfully petition your Honorable Board to create a "Port District" to be known as "Port of Sunnyside", to include and consist of all property and geographical area within the following described boundary in Yakima County, Washington, to-wit:

Beginning at the Northeast corner of Section 1, Township 9 North, Range 23, E. W. M., Yakima County, Washington, thence West to the Northeast corner of Section 4 thereof, thence South to the Southeast corner of Section 4, Township 9 North, Range 23, E. W. M., thence West to the Southwest corner of Section 6, Township 9 North, Range 23, thence South to the Southeast corner of Section 12, Township 9 North, Range 22, thence West to the Southwest corner of said last mentioned Section 12, thence South along the West line of Sections 13, 24 and 25, Township 9 North, Range 22 extended to the line of mid-channel of the Yakima River, thence Northwesterly along said line of mid-channel to an intersection with the West line of Section 25, Township 10 North, Range 21 extended South, thence North along said West line extended North to the Northwest corner of Section 24, Township 10 North, Range 21, E. W. M., thence West along South line of Section 14 to Southwest corner thereof, thence North along the West line of Sections 14, 11 and 2 to the Northwest corner of said last mentioned Section 2, thence West along the South line of Section 34, Township 11 North, Range 21, E.W.M., to the Southwest corner of said last mentioned Section 34, thence North along the West line of Section 34, 27, 22, 15, 10 and 3, to the Northwest corner of said last mentioned Section 3 in Township 11 North, Range 21, E. W. M., thence West along the Township line between Townships 11 and 12 E. W. M., to the Southwest corner of Section 33, Township 12 North, Range 20, E. W. M., thence North along West line of Sections 33 and 28 to the Northwest corner of said Section 28, thence West along the South line of Sections 20 and 19 to the Southwest corner of said Section 19, thence North along the West line of Sections 19, 18, 7 and 6 in Township 12 North, Range 20, E. W. M., thence continuing North along the West line of Section 31, Township 13 North, Range 20, E. W. M., thence East along the North line of Sections 31, 32, 33, 34, 35 and 36, Township 13 North, Range 20, E. W. M., thence continuing East along the North line of Sections 31, 32, 33, 34, 35 and 36, Township 13 North, Range 21, E. W. M., thence continuing East along the North line of Sections 31, 32 and 33, Township 13 North, Range 22, E. W. M., to the Northeast corner of said last mentioned Section 33, thence North along West line of Sections 27, 22, 15, 10 and 3 to the Northwest corner of said last mentioned Section 3, Township 13 North, Range 22, thence West along the Township line between Townships 13 and 14 to an intersection with the Range line between Ranges 21 and 22, E. W. M., thence North along said Range line to an intersection with the Range line between Ranges 14 and 15, E. W. M., thence East along said Range line to the line of mid-channel of the Columbia River, thence Southeasterly along

IT IS FURTHER RESPECTFULLY PETITIONED that the foregoing Port District of Sunnyside be divided into three Commissioners Districts, numbered consecutively and having approximately equal population and boundaries following precinct lines, said Commissioners Districts being described as follows:

COMMISSIONER DISTRICT 1:

Beginning at a point on the East line of Yakima County, which point is at the Northeast corner of the South Half of Section 25, Township 10 North, Range 23, E. W. M., thence West along the North line of the South Half of Sections 25, 26, 27, 28, 29 and 30 to the East city limits of the City of Sunnyside, thence North and West and continuously along the city limits of the City of Sunnyside to a point on said city limits east of the West Quarter corner of Section 27, Township 10 North, Range 22, E. W. M., thence West to said West quarter corner of said Section 27, thence South to the Southeast corner of Section 28, Township 10 North, Range 22, E. W. M., thence West along South line of Sections 28, 29 and 30, Township 10 North, Range 22, thence continuing West along the South line of Section 25, Township 10 North, Range 21 to the Southwest corner of said last mentioned Section 25, thence North along the West line of said Section 25 to the Northwest corner of Section 24, Township 10 North, Range 21, E. W. M., thence West along South line of Section 14 to Southwest corner thereof, thence North along the West line of Sections 14, 11 and 2 to the Northwest corner of said last mentioned Section 2, thence West along the South line of Section 34, Township 11 North, Range 21, E. W. M., to the Southwest corner of said last mentioned Section 34, thence North along the West line of Sections 34, 27, 22, 15, 10 and 3, to the Northwest corner of said last mentioned Section 3 in Township 11 North, Range 21, E. W. M., thence West along the Township line between Townships 11 and 12 E. W. M., to the Southwest corner of Section 33, Township 12 North, Range 20, E. W. M., thence North along West line of Sections 33 and 28 to the Northwest corner of said Section 28, thence West along the South line of Sections 20 and 19 to the Southwest corner of said Section 19, thence North along the West line of Sections 19, 18, 7 and 6 in Township 12 North, Range 20, E. W. M., thence continuing North along the West line of Section 31, Township 13 North, Range 20, E. W. M., thence East along the North line of Sections 31, 32, 33, 34, 35 and 36, Township 13 North, Range 20, E. W. M., thence continuing East along the North line of Sections 31, 32, 33, 34, 35 and 36, Township 13 North, Range 21, E. W. M., thence continuing East along the North line of Sections 31, 32 and 33, Township 13 North, Range 22, E. W. M., to the Northeast corner of said last mentioned Section 33, thence North along West line of Sections 27, 22, 15, 10 and 3 to the Northwest corner of said last mentioned Section 3, Township 13 North, Range 22, thence West along the Township line between Townships 13 and 14 to an intersection with the Range line between Ranges 21 and 22, E. W. M., thence North along said Range line to an intersection with the Range line between Ranges 14 and 15, E. W. M., thence East along said Range line to the line of said channel of the Columbia River, thence South

Yakima County, Washington, described as follows: Sunnyside Rural No. 1 (84); Roza; Sunnyside Rural No. 2; Outlook (72); Liberty (59) and East Moxee (126).).

COMMISSIONER DISTRICT 2:

Beginning at a point on the East line of Yakima County, which point is at the Northeast corner of the South Half of Section 25, Township 10 North, Range 23, E. W. M., thence West along the North line of the South Half of Sections 25, 26, 27, 28, 29 and 30 to the East city limits of the City of Sunnyside, thence West along Edison Avenue in said City to its intersection with South Sixth Street; thence South along Sixth Street to the South city limits of the City of Sunnyside, thence West and continuously along said city limits to Swan Road, thence North along Swan Road to the Northwest corner of the City limits of the City of Sunnyside, thence East and continuously along said city limits to a point on said city limits which is due East of the West Quarter corner of Section 27, Township 10 North, Range 22, E. W. M., thence West to said West Quarter corner of Section 27, thence South to Southeast corner of Section 28, Township 10 North, Range 22, E. W. M., thence West along South line of Sections 28, 29 and 30, Township 10 North, Range 22, thence continuing West along South line of Section 25, Township 10 North, Range 21 to the Southwest corner of said Section 25, thence South to the line of mid-channel of the Yakima River, thence Southeasterly along said line of mid-channel to the West line of Section 25, Township 9 North, Range 22 extended South, thence North along the West line of Sections 25, 24 and 13, Township 9 North, Range 22, E. W. M., to Northwest corner of said last mentioned Section 13, thence East to Northeast corner of said Section 13, thence North along East line of Section 12 to the Northeast corner thereof, thence East along South line of Sections 6, 5 and 4, Township 9 North, Range 23, E. W. M., to Southeast corner of said last mentioned Section 4, thence North along East line of Section 4 to Northeast corner thereof, thence East along South line of Sections 34, 35 and 36 to east line of Yakima County, thence North along said east line to point of beginning. (Said area comprises all of the voting precincts in Yakima County, Washington, described as follows: Sunnyside Rural No. 4 (87); Sunnyside Town No. 81; Sunnyside Town No. 82; Sunnyside Rural No. 3 (86); Wendell Phillips No. 102.).

COMMISSIONER DISTRICT 3:

All of the area located within the corporate limits of the City of Sunnyside, Washington, as same existed on June

100

)
)
)
)

RESOLUTION

1000 900 800 700 600 500 400 300 200 100 0

WHEREAS, the County Commissioners of Yakima County, Washington, are this day in receipt of a Petition wherein the petitioners pray that the proposal to create a Port District in Yakima County be submitted to the voters in the proposed District at the general election to be held on the 3rd day of November, 1964, said District being described as follows:

Beginning at the Northeast corner of Section 1, Township 9 North, Range 23, E. W. M., Yakima County, Washington, thence West to the Northeast corner of Section 4 thereof, thence South to the Southeast corner of Section 4, Township 9 North, Range 23, E.W.M., thence West to the Southwest corner of Section 6, Township 9 North, Range 23, thence South to the Southeast corner of Section 12, Township 9 North, Range 22, thence West to the Southwest corner of said last mentioned Section 12, thence South along the West line of Sections 13, 24 and 25, Township 9 North, Range 22 extended to the line of mid-channel of the Yakima River, thence Northwest along said line of mid-channel to an intersection with the West line of Section 25, Township 10 North, Range 21 extended South, thence North along said West line extended North to the Northwest corner of Section 24, Township 10 North, Range 21, E. W. M., thence West along South line of Section 14 to Southwest corner thereof, thence North along the West line of Sections 14, 11 and 2 to the Northwest corner of said last mentioned Section 2, thence West along the South line of Section 34, Township 11 North, Range 21, E. W. M., to the Southwest corner of said last mentioned Section 34, thence North along the West line of Sections 34, 27, 22, 15, 10 and 3, to the Northwest corner of said last mentioned Section 3 in Township 11 North, Range 21, E. W. M., thence West along the Township line between Townships 11 and 12 E. W. M., to the Southwest corner of Section 33, Township 12 North, Range 20, E. W. M., thence North along West line of Sections 33 and 28 to the Northwest corner of said Section 28, thence West along the South line of Sections 20 and 18 to the Southwest corner of

Sections 31, 32, 33, 34, 35 and 36, Township 13 North, Range 20, E. W. M., thence continuing East along the North line of Sections 31, 32, 33, 34, 35 and 36, Township 13 North, Range 21, E. W. M., thence continuing East along the North line of Sections 31, 32 and 33, Township 13 North, Range 22, E. W. M., to the Northeast corner of said last mentioned Section 33, thence North along West line of Sections 27, 22, 15, 10 and 3 to the Northwest corner of said last mentioned Section 3, Township 13 North, Range 22, thence West along the Township line between Townships 13 and 14 to an intersection with the Range line between Ranges 21 and 22, E. W. M., thence North along said Range line to an intersection with the Range line between Ranges 14 and 15, E. W. M., thence East along said Range line to the line of mid-channel of the Columbia River, thence Southeasterly along said line of mid-channel to the East line of Yakima County, thence South along said East line to the point of beginning; and,

WHEREAS, said Petition has attached thereto the requisite Certificate of the County Auditor of Yakima County, Washington, certifying that said Petition is sufficient; now, therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED that a hearing on the above described Petition be held in the office of the County Commissioners of Yakima County, Washington, on WEDNESDAY, the 19th day of August, 1964, at the hour of 2:00 o'clock, P.M., and that notice thereof be given by publication as provided by statute.

DONE this 29th day of July, 1964.

ATTEST:

EUGENE NAFF
County Auditor and Ex-Officio
Clerk of the Board

By ROSE HANSON
Deputy Auditor and Deputy Clerk

JIM H. NICHOLS

Chairman

LEE CROSSEN

Commissioner

ANGUS MCDONALD

Commissioner

Constituting the Board of County
Commissioners of Yakima County,
Washington.

RESOLUTION OF YAKIMA COUNTY AUDITOR
CALLING A SPECIAL ELECTION TO BE HELD IN CONJUNCTION
WITH THE STATE GENERAL ELECTION ON NOVEMBER 3, 1964,
FOR THE PURPOSE OF SUBMITTING A PROPOSITION FOR THE
FORMATION OF A PORT DISTRICT


WHEREAS, on the 26th day of August, 1964, the Board of County Commissioners of Yakima County, Washington resolved to call a special election on November 3, 1964, in conjunction with the state general election, for the purpose of submitting to the qualified electors of a proposed port district a proposition for the creation of a port district to be named the "Port of Sunnyside", and for the further purpose of the election of three port district commissioners; and,

WHEREAS, by said resolution, presented to the undersigned more than 45 days prior to the proposed election date, the said board requested that the said special election be called; and,

WHEREAS, from the facts set out in the said resolution it appears that the said special election is required to be called in conjunction with the state general election pursuant to the provision of R.C.W. 53.04.020 and R.C.W. 53.12.050; now, therefore,

IT IS HEREBY RESOLVED by Eugene Naff, Yakima County Auditor, as ex-officio supervisor of elections, that a special election be and the same is hereby called for November 3, 1964, in conjunction with the state general election, for the purpose of submitting to the qualified electors of a proposed port district the proposition for the formation of the port district to be known as the "Port of Sunnyside" and for the further purpose of electing three port district commissioners.

DATED this 21st day of September, 1964.


Yakima County Auditor, ex-officio
supervisor of elections

NOTICE OF SPECIAL ELECTION
FOR FORMATION OF A PORT DISTRICT TO BE KNOWN
AS PORT OF SUNNYSIDE AND FOR ELECTION OF COM-
MISSIONERS FOR SAID PROPOSED PORT DISTRICT

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that a special election will be held within the boundaries of the proposed port district hereinafter described, between the hours of 8:00 o'clock a.m. and 8:00 o'clock p.m. on November 3, 1964, in conjunction with the State General Election, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of said proposed port district for their approval or rejection the proposition for formation of said proposed port district as hereinafter stated and for the further purpose of electing three port district commissioners for said proposed port district.

PROPOSITION: Sunnyside Port District

Shall a port district to be known as PORT OF SUNNYSIDE be formed which would include all of the area within the boundaries of the voting precincts in Yakima County, Washington, described as follows: Sunnyside Rural No. 1 (84), No. 2 (85), No. 3 (86) and No. 4 (87); Roza; Outlook (72); Liberty (59); Wendell Phillips No. 102; Sunnyside Town Nos. 5, 6, 7, 81, 82, 83, 104 and 129, all as more fully set forth in Yakima County Commissioners' resolution dated August 26, 1964.

The election will be by ballot. Those in favor of the proposition as stated will vote "Port of Sunnyside - Yes"; those opposed "Port of Sunnyside - No", as marked after the proposition.

The voting places for the aforesaid election will be as follows:

Precinct	Name	Polling Place
84	Sunnyside Rural No. 1	Ed Harris Ranch
85	Sunnyside Rural No. 2	Midvalley Greenhouse
86	Sunnyside Rural No. 3	Pacific Power & Light Warehouse
87	Sunnyside Rural No. 4	Sunnyvale Grange
	Roza	William Guim Residence
72	Outlook	Outlook Grange
59	Liberty	Liberty School
102	Wendell Phillips	Wendell Phillips School
81	Sunnyside Town	City Hall
82	Sunnyside Town	Lincoln School
5 & 83	Sunnyside Town	Reserve Center
6 & 7	Sunnyside Town	Our Saviour Lutheran Church
104	Sunnyside Town	Sunnyside High School
129	Sunnyside Town	Housing Project

The boundaries of the proposed port district are described as follows:

Beginning at the Northeast corner of Section 1, Township 9 North, Range 23, E. W. M., Yakima County, Washington, thence West to the Northeast corner of Section 4 thereof, thence South to the Southeast corner of Section 4, Township 9 North, Range 23, E. W. M., thence West to the Southwest corner of Section 6, Township 9 North, Range 23, thence South to the Southeast corner of Section 12, Township 9 North, Range 22, thence West to the Southwest corner of said last mentioned Section 12, thence South along the West line of Sections 13, 24 and 25, Township 9 North, Range 22 extended to the line of mid-channel of the Yakima River, thence Northwesterly along said line of mid-channel to an intersection with the West line of Section 25, Township 10 North, Range 21 extended South, thence North along said West line extended North to the Northwest corner of Section 24, Township 10 North, Range 21, E. W. M., thence West along South line of Section 14 to Southwest corner thereof, thence North along the West line of Sections 14, 11 and 2 to the Northwest corner of said last mentioned Section 2, thence West along the South line of Section 34, Township 11 North, Range 21, E. W. M., to the Southwest corner of said last mentioned Section 34, thence North along the West line of Section 34, 27, 22, 15, 10 and 3, to the Northwest corner of said last mentioned Section 3 in Township 11 North, Range 21, E. W. M., thence East along the Township line between Township 11 and 12 E. W. M., to the Southeast corner of Section 33, Township 12 North, Range 23, E. W. M., thence North along the East line of Sections 33 and 28 to the Northeast corner of said Section 28, thence East along the North line of Section 27, to the Northeast corner of said Section 27, thence North along the East line of Section 22 to the Northeast corner of said Section 22, thence East along the North line of Section 23, to the Northeast corner of said Section 23, thence North along the East line of Section 14 to the Northeast corner of said Section 14, thence East along the North line of Section 13 to the Northeast corner of said Section 13, in Township 12 North, Range 23 E. W. M., thence South along the Range line between Ranges 23 and 24, E. W. M., the East line of Yakima County, to the Northeast corner of Section 1, Township 9 North, Range 23 E. W. M., the point of beginning.

The candidates for the three port district commissioner positions are as follows:

<u>Name</u>	<u>Address</u>	<u>District</u>	<u>Term</u>
J. Richard Golob	Rt. 2, Box 52, Sunnyside, Wash.	1	6 years
Wm. S. Barnard	Rt. 1, Emerald Road, Sunnyside, Wash.	2	4 years
Van E. Nutley	812 West Grandview Avenue, Sunnyside, Wash.	3	2 years

DATED this 27 day of October, 1964.

AUDITOR
Yakima County, Washington

By

[Signature]
Deputy

OFFICIAL BALLOT

Election On Proposition To Form Port District To Be Known As Port Of Sunnyside And To Elect Commissioners

Tuesday, November 3, 1964

INSTRUCTIONS TO VOTERS

Those desiring to vote in favor of the Proposition as below stated shall place a cross (X) in the square ☐ opposite the words "PORT OF SUNNYSIDE - YES"; those opposed shall place a cross (X) in the square ☐ opposite the words "PORT OF SUNNYSIDE - NO".

PROPOSITION—SUNNYSIDE PORT DISTRICT

Shall a port district to be known as PORT OF SUNNYSIDE be formed which would include all the area within the boundaries of the voting precincts in Yakima County, Washington, described as follows: Sunnyside Rural No. 1 (84), No. 2 (85), No. 3 (86) and No. 4 (87); Roza; Outlook (72); Liberty (59); Wendell Phillips No. 102; Sunnyside Town Nos. 5, 6, 7, 81, 82, 83, 104 and 129, all as more fully set forth in Yakima County Commissioners' resolution dated August 26, 1964.

PORT OF SUNNYSIDE - YES _____ ☐

PORT OF SUNNYSIDE - NO _____ ☐

Election of Port District Commissioners

INSTRUCTIONS TO VOTERS: Vote for one commissioner for each district.

District No. 1 of Port of Sunnyside

(6-year term)

(Vote for one)

J. RICHARD GOLOB _____ ☐

_____ ☐

District No. 2 of Port of Sunnyside

(4-year term)

(Vote for one)

WILLIAM S. BARNARD _____ ☐

_____ ☐

CERTIFICATION OF CANVASS AND DECLARATION
OF FORMATION OF SUNNYSIDE PORT DISTRICT

STATE OF WASHINGTON,]
 : ss.
County of Yakima,]

The undersigned Board of County Commissioners of Yakima County, Washington, do hereby certify that the official election returns for the Special Election held November 3, 1964, in conjunction with the State General Election, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of a proposed port district the question of whether or not the said port district should be formed and for the further purpose of electing three port district commissioners to said proposed port district, were as follows:

PROPOSITION: Sunnyside Port District

Port of Sunnyside - Yes 2,022

Port of Sunnyside - No 1,840

<u>COMMISSIONER</u> <u>DISTRICT</u>	<u>TERM</u>	<u>NAME</u>	<u>ADDRESS</u>	<u>NO. OF</u> <u>VOTES</u>
1	6 yr.	J. Richard Golob	Route 2, Box 52 Sunnyside, Washington	2,428
2	4 yr.	William S. Barnard	Route 1, Emerald Road Sunnyside, Washington	2,273
3	2 yr.	Van E. Nutley	812 W. Grandview Ave. Sunnyside, Washington	2,335

Total votes cast at last preceding general election within area: 3757.

The majority of the voters voting upon the proposition for the formation of the Sunnyside Port District, having voted in favor of the formation of the district and the total votes cast upon the question having equalled more than one-third of the total vote cast at the last preceding general election within such area, and the proposition for the formation of the district having thus carried, it is hereby declared, pursuant to the provisions of R.C.W. 53.04.060, that the said port district, the boundaries of which are hereinafter

described, be and the same is hereby formed as a municipal corporation of the State of Washington. The name of such port district shall be "Port of Sunnyside."

The boundaries of the said Port of Sunnyside shall be as follows:

Beginning at the Northeast corner of Section 1, Township 9 North, Range 23, E. W. M., Yakima County, Washington, thence West to the Northeast corner of Section 4 thereof, thence South to the Southeast corner of Section 4, Township 9 North, Range 23, E. W. M., thence West to the Southwest corner of Section 6, Township 9 North, Range 23, thence South to the Southeast corner of Section 12, Township 9 North, Range 22, thence West to the Southwest corner of said last mentioned Section 12, thence South along the West line of Sections 13, 24 and 25, Township 9 North, Range 22 extended to the line of mid-channel of the Yakima River; thence Northwesterly along said line of mid-channel to an intersection with the West line of Section 25, Township 10 North, Range 21 extended South, thence North along said West line extended North to the Northwest corner of Section 24, Township 10 North, Range 21, E. W. M., thence West along South line of Section 14 to Southwest corner thereof, thence North along the West line of Sections 14, 11 and 2 to the Northwest corner of said last mentioned Section 2, thence West along the South line of Section 34, Township 11 North, Range 21, E. W. M., to the Southwest corner of said last mentioned Section 34, thence North along the West line of Section 34, 27, 22, 15, 10 and 3, to the Northwest corner of said last mentioned Section 3 in Township 11 North, Range 21, E. W. M., thence East along the Township line between Township 11 and 12 E. W. M., to the Southeast corner of Section 33, Township 12 North, Range 23, E. W. M., thence North along the East line of Sections 33 and 28 to the Northeast corner of said Section 28, thence East along the North line of Section 27, to the Northeast corner of said Section 27, thence North along the East line of Section 22 to the Northeast corner of said Section 22, thence East along the North line of Section 23, to the Northeast corner of said Section 23, thence North along the East line of Section 14 to the Northeast corner of said Section 14, thence East along the North line of Section 13 to the Northeast corner of said Section 13, in Township 12 North, Range 23 E. W. M., thence South along the Range line between Ranges 23 and 24, E. W. M., the East line of Yakima County, to the Northeast corner of Section 1, Township 9 North, Range 23 E. W. M., the point of beginning.

The boundaries of the three commissioner districts within the said port district shall be as follows:

COMMISSIONER DISTRICT 1;

Beginning at a point on the East line of Yakima County, which point is at the Northeast corner of the South Half of Section 25, Township 10 North, Range 23, E. W. M., thence West along the North line of the South Half of Sections 25, 26, 27, 28, 29 and 30 to the East city limits of the City of Sunnyside, thence North and West and continuously along the city limits of the City of Sunnyside to a point on said city limits east of the West Quarter corner of Section 27, Township 10 North, Range 22, E. W. M., thence West to said West quarter corner of said Section 27, thence South to the Southeast corner of Section 28, Township 10 North, Range 22, E. W. M., thence West along South line of Sections 28, 29 and 30, Township 10 North, Range 22, thence continuing West along the South line of Section 25, Township 10 North, Range 21 to the Southwest corner of said last mentioned Section 25, thence North along the West line of said Section 25 to the Northwest corner of Section 24, Township 10 North, Range 21, E. W. M., thence West along South line of Section 14 to Southwest corner thereof, thence North along the West line of Sections 14, 11 and 2 to the Northwest corner of said last mentioned Section 2, thence West along the South line of Section 34, Township 11 North, Range 21, E. W. M., to the Southwest corner of said last mentioned Section 34, thence North along the West line of Sections 34, 27, 22, 15, 10 and 3, to the Northwest corner of said last mentioned Section 3 in Township 11 North, Range 21, E. W. M., thence East along the Township line between Townships 11 and 12 E. W. M., to the Southeast corner of Section 33, Township 12 North, Range 23 E. W. M., thence North along the East line of Sections 33 and 28 to the Northeast corner of said Section 28, thence East along the North line of Section 27, to the Northeast corner of said Section 27, thence North along the East line of Section 22 to the Northeast corner of said Section 22, thence East along the North line of Section 23 to the Northeast corner of said Section 23, thence North along the East line of Section 14 to the Northeast corner of said Section 14, thence East along the North line of Section 13 to the Northeast corner of said Section 13, in Township 12 North, Range 23 E. W. M., thence South along the Range line between Ranges 23 and 24, E. W. M., the East line of Yakima County, to the Northeast corner of Section 1, Township 9 North, Range 23 E. W. M., the point of beginning. (Said area comprises all of the voting precincts in Yakima County, Washington, described as follows: Sunnyside Rural No. 1 (84); Roza; Sunnyside Rural No. 2; Outlook (72); and Liberty(59).

COMMISSIONER DISTRICT 2:

Beginning at a point on the East line of Yakima County, which point is at the Northeast corner of the South Half of Section 25, Township 10 North, Range 23, E. W. M., thence West along the North line of the South Half of Sections 25, 26, 27, 28, 29 and 30 to the East city limits of the City of Sunnyside, thence West along Edison Avenue in said City to its intersection with South Sixth Street; thence South along Sixth Street to the South city limits of the

City of Sunnyside, thence West and continuously along said city limits to Swan Road, thence North along Swan Road to the Northwest corner of the City limits of the City of Sunnyside, thence East and continuously along said city limits to a point on said city limits which is due East of the West Quarter corner of Section 27, Township 10 North, Range 22, E. W. M., thence West to said West Quarter corner of Section 27, thence South to Southeast corner of Section 28, Township 10 North, Range 22, E. W. M., thence West along South line of Sections 28, 29 and 30, Township 10 North, Range 22, thence continuing West along South line of Section 25, Township 10 North, Range 21 to the Southwest corner of said Section 25, thence South to the line of mid-channel of the Yakima River, thence Southeasterly along said line of mid-channel to the West line of Section 25, Township 9 North, Range 22 extended South, thence North along the West line of Sections 25, 24 and 13, Township 9 North, Range 22, E. W. M., to Northwest corner of said last mentioned Section 13, thence East to Northeast corner of said Section 13, thence North along East line of Section 12 to the Northeast corner thereof, thence East along South line of Sections 6, 5 and 4, Township 9 North, Range 23, E. W. M., to Southeast corner of said last mentioned Section 4, thence North along East line of Section 4 to Northeast corner thereof, thence East along South line of Sections 34, 35 and 36 to east line of Yakima County, thence North along said east line to point of beginning. (Said area comprises all of the voting precincts in Yakima County, Washington, described as follows: Sunnyside Rural No. 4 (87); Sunnyside Town No. 81; Sunnyside Town No. 82; Sunnyside Rural No. 3 (86); Wendell Phillips No. 102.)

COMMISSIONER DISTRICT 3:

All of the area located within the corporate limits of the City of Sunnyside, Washington, as same existed on June 1964, excepting therefrom Sunnyside Town Precincts No. 81 and No. 82, the borders of which precincts are described as follows: Beginning at the point of intersection of East Edison Avenue and the East city limits of said City, thence West along Edison Avenue to South Sixth Street, thence South along South Sixth Street to Otis Avenue, which is the South City limits of the City of Sunnyside, thence East and North and continuously along said city limits to its intersection with East Edison Avenue. (Said area comprises all of the voting precincts of Yakima County, Washington, described as follows: Sunnyside Town No. 5; Sunnyside Town No. 6; Sunnyside Town No. 7; Sunnyside Town No. 83; Sunnyside Town 104; Sunnyside No. 129).

DATED this 27th day of November, 1964.

Tim H. Neuman

Chairman

Lee Crosson

Angus M^eDonald

Constituting the Board of County
Commissioners of Yakima County,
Washington

Application for Federal Assistance SF-424

* 1. Type of Submission:

- ☐ Preapplication
☒ Application
☐ Changed/Corrected Application

* 2. Type of Application:

- ☒ New
☐ Continuation
☐ Revision

* If Revision, select appropriate letter(s):

* Other (Specify):

* 3. Date Received:

11/27/2019

4. Applicant Identifier:

5a. Federal Entity Identifier:

5b. Federal Award Identifier:

BF

State Use Only:

6. Date Received by State:

7. State Application Identifier:

8. APPLICANT INFORMATION:

* a. Legal Name:

Port of Sunnyside

* b. Employer/Taxpayer Identification Number (EIN/TIN):

* c. Organizational DUNS:

0038807050000

d. Address:

* Street1:

2640 E. Edison Ave., Suite 1

Street2:

* City:

Sunnyside

County/Parish:

Yakima

* State:

WA: Washington

Province:

* Country:

USA: UNITED STATES

* Zip / Postal Code:

98944-1657

e. Organizational Unit:

Department Name:

Division Name:

f. Name and contact information of person to be contacted on matters involving this application:

Prefix:

* First Name:

Jay

Middle Name:

* Last Name:

Hester

Suffix:

Title:

Executive Director

Organizational Affiliation:

* Telephone Number:

509-839-7678

Fax Number:

* Email:

jay@portofsunnyside.com

Application for Federal Assistance SF-424

* 9. Type of Applicant 1: Select Applicant Type:

D: Special District Government

Type of Applicant 2: Select Applicant Type:

Type of Applicant 3: Select Applicant Type:

* Other (specify):

* 10. Name of Federal Agency:

Environmental Protection Agency

11. Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Number:

66.818

CFDA Title:

Brownfields Assessment and Cleanup Cooperative Agreements

* 12. Funding Opportunity Number:

EPA-OLEM-OBLR-19-05

* Title:

FY20 GUIDELINES FOR BROWNFIELD ASSESSMENT GRANTS

13. Competition Identification Number:

Title:

14. Areas Affected by Project (Cities, Counties, States, etc.):

Add Attachment

Delete Attachment

View Attachment

* 15. Descriptive Title of Applicant's Project:

Port of Sunnyside, Washington: Community Wide Assessment Grant Program

Attach supporting documents as specified in agency instructions.

Add Attachments

Delete Attachments

View Attachments

Application for Federal Assistance SF-424**16. Congressional Districts Of:*** a. Applicant * b. Program/Project

Attach an additional list of Program/Project Congressional Districts if needed.

17. Proposed Project:* a. Start Date: * b. End Date: **18. Estimated Funding (\$):**

* a. Federal	<input type="text" value="300,000.00"/>
* b. Applicant	<input type="text" value="0.00"/>
* c. State	<input type="text" value="0.00"/>
* d. Local	<input type="text" value="0.00"/>
* e. Other	<input type="text" value="0.00"/>
* f. Program Income	<input type="text" value="0.00"/>
* g. TOTAL	<input type="text" value="300,000.00"/>

*** 19. Is Application Subject to Review By State Under Executive Order 12372 Process?**

- ☐ a. This application was made available to the State under the Executive Order 12372 Process for review on .
- ☒ b. Program is subject to E.O. 12372 but has not been selected by the State for review.
- ☐ c. Program is not covered by E.O. 12372.

*** 20. Is the Applicant Delinquent On Any Federal Debt? (If "Yes," provide explanation in attachment.)**☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes", provide explanation and attach

21. *By signing this application, I certify (1) to the statements contained in the list of certifications and (2) that the statements herein are true, complete and accurate to the best of my knowledge. I also provide the required assurances** and agree to comply with any resulting terms if I accept an award. I am aware that any false, fictitious, or fraudulent statements or claims may subject me to criminal, civil, or administrative penalties. (U.S. Code, Title 218, Section 1001)**

☒ ** I AGREE

** The list of certifications and assurances, or an internet site where you may obtain this list, is contained in the announcement or agency specific instructions.

Authorized Representative:

Prefix: * First Name:

Middle Name:

* Last Name:

Suffix:

* Title: * Telephone Number: Fax Number: * Email: * Signature of Authorized Representative: * Date Signed: